Kate Marsh, BSc, MNutrDiet, PhD,
Carol Zeuschner, BSc, MSc, and
Angela Saunders, BS, MA

Health Implications of a Vegetarian Diet: A Review

Abstract: *There is now a significant amount of research that demonstrates the health benefits of vegetarian and plant-based diets, which have been associated with a reduced risk of obesity, diabetes, heart disease, and some types of cancer as well as increased longevity. Vegetarian diets are typically lower in fat, particularly saturated fat, and higher in dietary fiber. They are also likely to include more whole grains, legumes, nuts, and soy protein, and together with the absence of red meat, this type of eating plan may provide many benefits for the prevention and treatment of obesity and chronic health problems, including diabetes and cardiovascular disease. Although a well-planned vegetarian or vegan diet can meet all the nutritional needs of an individual, it may be necessary to pay particular attention to some nutrients to ensure an adequate intake, particularly if the person is on a vegan diet. This article will review the evidence for the health benefits of a vegetarian diet and also discuss strategies for meeting the nutritional needs of those following a vegetarian or plant-based eating pattern*.

Introduction

A vegetarian is someone who consumes a diet consisting mostly of plant-based foods, including fruits, vegetables, legumes, nuts, seeds, and grains. Some vegetarians also consume eggs and dairy products.

There are 4 main types of vegetarian diets: (1) a lacto-ovo-vegetarian consumes dairy products and eggs but no meat, poultry, or seafood; (2) a lacto-vegetarian eats dairy products but not eggs, meat, poultry, or seafood; (3) an ovo-vegetarian eats eggs but no dairy products, meat, poultry, or seafood; and (4) a vegan does not eat any animal products, including meat, fish, poultry, eggs, and dairy products; many vegans will also avoid honey.

A 2009 nationwide poll conducted by the Vegetarian Resource Group estimated that approximately 3% of US adults are vegetarian (indicating that they never eat meat, poultry, fish, or seafood), and around 1% are vegan (they also never eat dairy, eggs, and honey).[1]

Health Benefits of a Vegetarian Diet

Improved health is one of the many reasons people choose to adopt a vegetarian diet, and there is now a wealth of evidence to support the health benefits of a vegetarian diet.

> Improved health is one of the many reasons people choose to adopt a vegetarian diet, and there is now a wealth of evidence to support the health benefits of a vegetarian diet.

Research has found that vegetarians have lower rates of a number of health problems, including overweight and obesity, cardiovascular disease (CVD), hypertension, type 2 diabetes, some cancers, gallstones, kidney stones, constipation, and diverticular disease.[2,3]

Although vegetarians generally have a lower body mass index (BMI) and tend to be more health conscious than nonvegetarians,[4] health outcomes remain better even when these factors are taken into account. Furthermore, a number of studies have shown increased longevity among vegetarians.[5,6] It is likely that these benefits result from both a reduced consumption of potentially harmful dietary components, including saturated fat, cholesterol, animal protein, red meat, and heme iron, and an increased consumption of beneficial dietary components, including fruit, vegetables, whole grains, legumes, and nuts, which are rich in dietary fiber, antioxidants, and phytochemicals.[7]

Vegetarian diets differ from nonvegetarian diets in many respects, but the most significant difference is the absence of red meat intake in a vegetarian diet. Research has linked higher intakes of red meat and processed meat with an increased risk of obesity,[8] type 2 diabetes,[9-13] gestational diabetes,[14] CVD,[15-17] and some types of cancer.[18-25] These findings are summarized in Table 1. A large study investigating the association of a wide range of meat intakes with chronic disease mortality found that both red and processed meat intakes were associated with modest increases in total mortality, cancer mortality, and CVD mortality.[26] Low meat intake, on the other hand, has been associated with greater longevity.[5]

Overweight and Obesity

Research has consistently shown that vegetarians, and particularly vegans, are leaner than their omnivorous counterparts.[27-30] The European Prospective Investigation in Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) Oxford study compared weight gain over 5 years in almost 22 000 meat-eating, fish-eating, vegetarian, and vegan men and women.[28] Weight gain was lowest in the vegan group and those who, during follow-up, had changed to a diet containing fewer animal foods. The same study reported a significant difference in age-adjusted BMI between the 4 diet groups, with meat eaters having the highest BMI (24.41 kg/m^2 in

men, 23.52 kg/m^2 in women), vegans the lowest BMI (22.49 kg/m^2 in men, 21.98 kg/m^2 in women), and fish eaters and vegetarians a similar, intermediate mean BMI.[29] Differences in macronutrient intakes accounted for about half the difference in mean BMI between vegans and meat eaters, with high protein and low fiber intakes most strongly associated with increasing BMI.[29] Similarly, the Adventist Health Study-2 found that mean BMI was lowest in vegans (23.6 kg/m^2) and incrementally higher in lacto-ovo vegetarians (25.7 kg/m^2), pesco-vegetarians (those who also ate fish; 26.3 kg/m^2), semivegetarians (those who ate meat, poultry, or fish less than once per week; 27.3 kg/m^2), and nonvegetarians (28.8 kg/m^2).[30]

There are a number of possible explanations for this association, including the lower fat intake, higher intake of dietary fiber, and lower energy density typical of a vegetarian diet. Foods such as whole grains and nuts are more regularly consumed by vegetarians and have been independently associated with a reduced risk of obesity and weight gain.[31-33] A higher intake of red meat, on the other hand, has been associated with an increased risk of weight gain.[34-36]

Cardiovascular Disease

The most consistent evidence for the health benefits of a vegetarian diet relates to a reduced risk of coronary heart disease (CHD) and coronary disease mortality. Several studies have demonstrated a lower incidence of CHD,[37-41] and a combined analysis of 5 prospective studies reported a 24% lower risk of mortality from ischemic heart disease (IHD) in vegetarians compared with meat eaters, with lacto-ovo vegetarians having a 34% reduced risk and vegans a 26% reduced risk.[42,43] The benefit was apparent in those who had followed their diet for at least 5 years and was greater in younger age groups.

These findings are perhaps not surprising considering that vegetarians generally have a better cardiovascular risk profile than nonvegetarians, with lower total and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol levels,[38,44-48] a lower

body weight,[28-30] and a lower incidence of diabetes[12,30,49] and hypertension,[50-52] all of which contribute to CVD risk. Some research has also suggested that a vegetarian diet may reduce the susceptibility of LDL to oxidation.[53]

Specific aspects of a vegetarian diet, including a lower intake of saturated fat, higher intake of soluble fiber, and increased consumption of whole grains, legumes, nuts, and soy protein, are likely to contribute to its cardiovascular benefits.[54] Several studies have demonstrated an association between whole grain intake and CVD risk, and a recent meta-analysis estimated that a greater intake of whole grains (2.5 servings per day vs 0.2 servings per day) was associated with a 21% lower risk of CVD events.[55] The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 1 Epidemiologic Follow-up Study found that consuming legumes at least 4 times per week, compared with less than once per week, was associated with a 22% reduced risk of CHD and an 11% reduced risk of CVD.[56] Similarly, the Japanese Collaborative Cohort Study (JACC) found an inverse association between bean intake (which included soy) and CVD risk.[57] The highest intake of legumes (4.5 servings per week) was associated with a 16% reduced risk of total CVD and a 10% reduced risk of total mortality. Regular nut consumption has been found to protect against CHD in both epidemiological and clinical trials. Nuts may protect against CHD through effects on lipids, lipid oxidation, inflammation, and vascular reactivity.[58] Soy protein has been shown to reduce total and LDL cholesterol levels, and a recent meta-analysis of 30 studies demonstrated a small but significant reduction in both total and LDL cholesterol with the consumption of 25 g of soy protein per day.[59] Since 1999, the US FDA has approved a health claim that foods high in soy protein may help lower heart disease risk.[60] Although individual components of a vegetarian diet may provide benefits for CVD risk, a combination of these foods is likely to provide the greatest effect. For example, a vegetarian diet incorporating soluble

Table 1.

Studies Showing Association Between Red Meat Intake and Risk of Chronic Disease and Mortality

Reference	Study Type	Population	Follow-up Period	Dietary Assessment Method	Outcome	Type of Meat	Measure of Meat Intake (Lowest vs Highest)	Main Findings, RR or OR (95% CI)	Adjustment
Sinha et al[26]	Cohort	322 263 Men and 223 390 women aged 50 to 71 years (NIH-AARP Diet & Health Study)	10 years	FFQ	All mortality (men)	Red meat	9.3 vs 68.1 g/1000 kcal	1.31 (1.27-1.35)	Age, ethnicity, energy, education, marital status, FH cancer, smoking, alcohol, PA, vitamin supplement use, fruit consumption, vegetable consumption, HRT use in women
						Processed meat	5.1 vs 19.4 g/1000 kcal	1.16 (1.12-1.20)	
					All mortality (women)	Red meat	9.1 vs 65.9 g/1000 kcal	1.36 (1.30-1.43)	
						Processed meat	3.8 vs 16.0 g/1000 kcal	1.25 (1.20-1.31)	
					Cancer mortality (men)	Red meat	9.3 vs 68.1 g/1000 kcal	1.22 (1.16-1.29)	
						Processed meat	5.1 vs 19.4 g/1000 kcal	1.12 (1.06-1.19)	
					Cancer mortality (women)	Red meat	9.1 vs 65.9 g/1000 kcal	1.20 (1.12-1.30)	
						Processed meat	3.8 vs 16.0 g/1000 kcal	1.11 (1.04-1.19)	
					CVD mortality (men)	Red meat	9.3 vs 68.1g/1000 kcal	1.27 (1.20-1.35)	
						Processed meat	5.1 vs 19.4 g/1000 kcal	1.09 (1.03-1.15)	
					CVD mortality (women)	Red meat	9.1 vs 65.9 g/1000 kcal	1.50 (1.37-1.65)	
						Processed meat	3.8 vs 16.0 g/1000 kcal	1.38 (1.26-1.51)	
Wang and Beydoun[8]	Cross-sectional	13 602 US adults (1999-2004 NHANES)	N/A	24-hour recall	Obesity	Total meat	22 vs 516 g	1.27 (1.08 – 1.49)	Age, sex, ethnicity, SES, PA
						Red meat	0 vs 151 g	1.20 (1.07 – 1.35)	
					Central obesity	Total meat	22 vs 516 g	1.33 (1.13 – 1.55)	
						Red meat	0 vs 151 g	1.09 (1.03 – 1.38)	
Vang et al[12]	Cohort	8401 adults aged 45-88 years (Adventist Health Studies)	17 years (1960-1976)	FFQ, five 24-hour recalls on 147 cohort members	Diabetes risk	Total meats	Never vs ≥1/wk	1.29 (1.08 – 1.55)	PA, age, sex, education, smoking, alcohol
						Red meat	Never vs ≥1/wk	1.27 (1.06-1.53)	
						Processed meats	Never vs weekly	1.38 (1.05 – 1.82)	
						Salted fish	Never vs weekly	1.55 (1.00–2.39)	
						Frankfurters	Never vs weekly	1.29 (0.94–1.76)	

(continued)

3

Table 1. (continued)

Reference	Study Type	Population	Follow-up Period	Dietary Assessment Method	Outcome	Type of Meat	Measure of Meat Intake (Lowest vs Highest)	Main Findings, RR or OR (95% CI)	Adjustment
Zhang et al[14]	Cohort	13 110 Women (Nurses Health Study II)	7 years (1991-1998)	FFQ	GDM risk	Red meat	0.14 vs 1.07 servings/d	1.74 (1.35 − 2.26)	Age, parity, BMI, smoking, ethnicity, FH-DM, PA, energy
						Total processed meat	0 vs 0.57 servings/d	1.68 (1.30 − 2.16)	
						Bacon	0 vs ≥0.14 servings/d	1.29 (1.02-1.63)	
						Hot Dogs	0 vs ≥0.14 servings/d	1.25 (1.00-1.56)	
						Other processed meats	0 vs ≥0.14 servings/d	1.60 (1.31-1.95)	
Fung et al[9]	Cohort	69 554 women aged 38 to 63 years (Nurses Health Study)	14 years (1984-1998)	FFQ	Type 2 diabetes risk	Red meat	0.21 vs 0.96 servings/d	1.36 (1.18-1.56)	Age, FH-T2DM, HC smoking, menopausal status, energy, HT, PA, alcohol, BMI, missing FFQ.
						Total processed meat	0.04 vs 0.55 servings/d	1.60 (1.39-1.83)	
						Bacon	0 vs 0.19 servings/d	1.42 (1.26-1.59)	
						Hot dogs,	0 vs 0.14 servings/d	1.33 (1.17-1.51)	
						Processed meats	0 vs 0.28 servings/d	1.40 (1.23-1.59)	
						Total processed and red meats	0.32 vs 1.41 servings/d	1.55 (1.34-1.80)	
Song et al[11]	Cohort	37 309 women aged 45 years or more (Womens Health Study)	8.8 years	FFQ	Type 2 diabetes risk	Red meat	0.03 vs 1.42 servings/d	1.24 (1.00-1.54)	Age, BMI, energy, PA, alcohol, smoking, FH-DM. Additional adjustment for dietary fibre, GL, Mg, total fat
						Total processed meat	0 vs 0.56 servings/d	1.19 (1.00-1.42)	
						Bacon	<1/wk vs ≥2/wk	1.17 (1.02-1/35)	
						Hot dogs	<1/wk vs ≥2/wk	1.24 (1.05-1.45)	
Schulze et al[10]	Cohort	91 246 US women aged 26 to 46 years (Nurses Health Study II)	8 years	FFQ	Type 2 diabetes risk	Total processed meat	<1/wk vs ≥5/week	1.72 (1.26-2.36)	Age, BMI, energy, alcohol, PA, FH-DM, smoking, HC. HT, HRT use, OCP use. Additional adjustment for Mg, GI, cereal fiber, caffeine, fatty acids

Table 1. (continued)

Reference	Study Type	Population	Follow-up Period	Dietary Assessment Method	Outcome	Type of Meat	Measure of Meat Intake (Lowest vs Highest)	Main Findings, RR or OR (95% CI)	Adjustment
van Dam et al[13]	Cohort	42 504 Men aged 40-75 years (Health Professionals Follow-Up study)	12 years (1986-1998)	FFQ, 2 × 1-week diet records for 127 participants	Type 2 diabetes risk	Total processed meat	<1 serving/month vs ≥5 servings/wk	1.46 (1.14 – 1.86)	Age; energy; time period, PA, smoking, alcohol, HC, HT, FH-T2DM, intake of cereal fiber and Mg, BMI
						Bacon	<1 serving/month vs ≥2 servings /wk	1.33 (1.11–1.58)	
						Hot dogs	<1 serving/month vs ≥2 servings /wk	1.26 (1.00–1.60)	
						Other processed meats	<1 serving/month vs ≥2 servings /wk	1.18 (0.99–1.41)	
						Hamburgers	<1 serving/month vs ≥2 servings /wk	1.27 (0.99–1.62)	
Bernstein et al[17]	Cohort	84 136 Women aged 30 to 55 years in the Nurses' Health Study	26 years	FFQ	CHD risk	Total meat	0.79 vs 2.48 servings/d	1.22 (1.06–1.40)	Age, smoking, alcohol, energy, cereal fiber, trans fats, BMI, menopausal status, parental history of early MI, multivitamin use, vitamin E supplement use, aspirin use, PA
						Red meat	0.49 vs 2.11 servings/d	1.29 (1.12–1.49)	
						Red meat excluding processed meat	0.28 vs 1.17 servings/d	1.13 (0.99–1.30)	
Kontogianni et al[15]	Case Control	848 Cases (of first event of an ACS) and 1078 population-based controls, age and sex matched	n/a	FFQ	ACS risk	Total meat	<4 vs >8 portions / month	4.79 (3.32-6.92)	BMI, smoking, PA, education, FH-CHD, HT, HL, DM, medication use
Qi et al[16]	Cohort	6 161 Women with type 2 diabetes (Nurses Health Study)	20 years	FFQ	Total CHD	Red meat	0.55 vs 2.39 servings/d	1.36 (0.97–1.91)	Smoking, alcohol, HT, HC, FH-CHD, PA, aspirin use, duration of diabetes, menopausal status and postmenopausal HRT use, dietary factors (cereal fiber, trans fat, P:S ratio, GL, vitamin C
					Fatal CHD	Red meat	0.55 vs 2.39 servings/d	2.05 (1.08-3.90)	
					Coronary revascularization	Red meat	0.55 vs 2.39 servings/d	1.91 (0.96-3.83)	

Table 1. (continued)

Reference	Study Type	Population	Follow-up Period	Dietary Assessment Method	Outcome	Type of Meat	Measure of Meat Intake (Lowest vs Highest)	Main Findings, RR or OR (95% CI)	Adjustment
Cross et al[22]	Cohort	300 948 US men and women (NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study)	7 years	FFQ	Colorectal cancer	Red meat	451 vs 668 g/1000 kJ	1.24 (1.09-1.42)	Sex, education, BMI, smoking, energy, fiber, dietary calcium. Red meat and white meat were mutually adjusted for each other. Processed meat was adjusted for nonprocessed meat
						Processed meat	440 vs 633 g/1000 kJ	1.16 (1.01-1.32)	
					Colon cancer	Red meat	451 vs 668 g/1000 kJ	1.21 (1.03-1.41)	
						Processed meat	440 vs 633 g/1000 kJ	1.11 (0.95-1.29)	
					Rectal cancer	Red meat	451 vs 668 g/1000 kJ	1.35 (1.03-1.76)	
						Processed meat	440 vs 633 g/1000 kJ	1.30 (1.00-1.68)	
Kolahdooz et al[25]	Case control	683 Cases and 777 control women aged 18-79 years	N/A	Semiquantitative FFQ and interviews	Ovarian cancer	Meat and fat	0 vs 4 or more serves/d	2.49 (1.75-3.55)	Age, age squared, oral contraceptive use, parity, education, energy intake
						Processed meat	0 vs 4 or more serves/d	2.49 (1.69-3.69)	
						Red meat	0 vs 4 or more serves/d	2.72 (1.89-3.93)	
Lagiou et al[23]	Case control	2304 Patients with UADT (upper aerodigestive tract) cancer (1861 men and 443 women) and 2227 control	N/a	FFQ	UADT cancer	Red meat	Not specified (based on increasing tertiles of consumption)	1.14 (1.05-1.25)	Age, sex, BMI, height, education, alcohol, smoking
						Pork		1.13 (1.02-1.25)	
						Cold cuts		1.12 (1.02-1.22)	
Navarro Silvera et al[24]	Case control	Population-based 687 controls, 1095 cases aged 30-79 years	N/A	Interview, questionnaire and FFQ	Esophageal adenocarcinoma	Total meat	Not specified (based on increasing intake of 1 serving per day)	1.43 (1.11-1.83)	Sex, study location, age, ethnicity, income, education, BMI, smoking, alcohol, energy
					Gastric cardia adenocarcinoma	Total meat		1.37 (1.08-1.73)	
					Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma	Red meat		2.10 (0.99-4.45)	
					Nongastric cardia adenocarcinoma	High nitrite meats		1.88 (1.24-2.84)	

Table 1. (continued)

Reference	Study Type	Population	Follow-up Period	Dietary Assessment Method	Outcome	Type of Meat	Measure of Meat Intake (Lowest vs Highest)	Main Findings, RR or OR (95% CI)	Adjustment
Cross et al[18]	Cohort NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study	494 036 (294 724 men and 199 312 women) aged 50-71 years. Mean follow-up 6.8 years	Up to 8.2 years	FFQ	Colorectal cancer	Red meat	9.8 vs 62.7 g/1000 kcal	1.24 (1.12-1.36)	Age, sex, education, marital status, FH-cancer, ethnicity, BMI, smoking, PA, energy, alcohol, fruit and vegetable consumption.
						Processed meat	1.6 vs 22.6 g/1000 kcal	1.20 (1.09-1.32)	
					Liver cancer	Red meat	9.8 vs 62.7 g/1000 kcal	1.61 (1.12-2.31)	
						Processed meat	1.6 vs 22.6 g/1000 kcal	1.18 (1.06-1.32)	
					Lung cancer	Red meat	9.8 vs 62.7 g/1000 kcal	1.20 (1.10-1.31)	
						Processed meat	1.6 vs 22.6 g/1000 kcal	1.16 (1.06-1.26)	
					Pancreatic cancer	Red meat	9.8 vs 62.7 g/1000 kcal	1.43 (1.11-1.83), men	
						Processed meat	1.6 vs 22.6 g/1000 kcal	1.31 (1.01-1.68), men	
					Myeloma	Processed meat	1.6 vs 22.6 g/1000 kcal	1.3 (0.98-1.71)	
					Cervical cancer	Processed meat	1.6 vs 22.6 g/1000 kcal	1.72 (0.96-3.09)	
Cross et al[19]	Randomized control trial PLCO Cancer Screening Trial	29 361 Men aged 55-74 years	3-5 years	FFQ	Prostate cancer	Very well done meat	0 vs >10 g/d	1.42 (1.05-1.92)	Age, ethnicity, study center, FH prostate cancer, BMI, smoking, PA, energy, vitamin E supplement use, lycopene intake, history of DM, aspirin use, number of screening exams during follow-up

(continued)

Table 1. (continued)

Reference	Study Type	Population	Follow-up Period	Dietary Assessment Method	Outcome	Type of Meat	Measure of Meat Intake (Lowest vs Highest)	Main Findings, RR or OR (95% CI)	Adjustment
Norat et al[20]	Cohort (EPIC)	478 040 Men and women aged between 35 and 70 years	6 years (mean 4.8 years)	Country-specific validated FFQ +/− food records	Colorectal cancer	Red and processed meat	<10 vs ≥160 g/d	1.35 (0.96-1.88)	Age, sex, energy from fat and nonfat sources, height, weight, occupational PA smoking, dietary fiber, alcohol, geographical center
						Processed meat	<10 vs ≥80 g/d	1.42 (1.09-1.86)	
Bosetti et al[21]	Case control	Cases, 1031 women (age range 18-79 years); controls, 2411 women (age range 17-79 years)	7.5 years	FFQ	Ovarian cancer	Red meat	<2.2 vs 7 servings/wk	1.53 (1.13-2.05)	Age, study center, education, year at interview, parity, OCP use, energy

Abbreviations: RR, relative risk; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; PA, physical activity; MS, marital status; SES, socioeconomic status; OC, oral contraceptive use; HRT, hormone replacement therapy; FH, family history; DM, diabetes; T2DM, type 2 diabetes; HC, hypercholesterolemia; HT, hypertension; HL, hyperlipidemia; ACS, acute coronary syndrome; CHD, coronary heart disease; MI, myocardial infarct; Mg, magnesium; GI, glycemic index; GL, glycemic load; energy, total dietary energy intake; FFQ, food frequency questionnaire; P:S, polyunsaturated to saturated fat ratio.

fiber, soy protein, almonds, and plant sterols has been shown to reduce LDL cholesterol by a similar amount as 20 g of lovastatin does, over 4 weeks.[61]

Although some studies have linked red meat intake with CHD risk,[62] a recent meta-analysis found that processed meat intake, but not red meat intake, was associated with a higher risk of CHD.[63] Several studies have demonstrated the benefits of a Mediterranean diet—a mostly plant-based diet with low meat intake—for a reduced risk of CHD.[64-66]

Hypertension

Evidence suggests that a vegetarian diet may help in both the prevention and management of hypertension.[67] The EPIC-Oxford study found that non–meat eaters had a lower prevalence of hypertension and lower systolic and diastolic blood pressures than meat eaters, but this was largely a result of differences in BMI.[50] The age-adjusted prevalence of self-reported hypertension was significantly different between the 4 diet groups, ranging from 15.0% in male meat eaters to 5.8% in male vegans, and from 12.1% in female meat eaters to 7.7% in female vegans.[50] Fish eaters and vegetarians had a similar, intermediate prevalence of hypertension. In the Adventist Health Study-2, a significant, graded association with blood pressure was also observed.[51] Compared with nonvegetarians, vegans were 75% less likely to be treated for hypertension, lacto-ovo vegetarians 65% less likely, pesco-vegetarians 38% less likely, and semivegetarians 23% less likely.

A number of randomized clinical trials have shown that a vegetarian diet can lower blood pressure in both normotensive and hypertensive individuals.[68,69] Although it is well accepted that both weight loss and reducing sodium intake can lower blood pressure,[70] the effect of a vegetarian diet on blood pressure appears to be independent of these, suggesting that other components of the diet are responsible.[68,69,71] These findings are consistent with the results of the DASH (Dietary Approaches to Stopping Hypertension) trial, which found that

a diet high in fruit, vegetables, whole grains, and low-fat dairy products significantly reduced blood pressure in those with normal blood pressure or mild hypertension.[72]

Cancer

Overall, cancer rates in vegetarians appear to be moderately lower than others living in the same communities, and life expectancy appears to be greater.[40,51,73] However, results for specific cancers are less convincing and require more study.[74] Most of the research conducted on vegetarian diets and cancer has involved lacto-ovo-vegetarians. Of the studies looking at vegan groups, most only cover a short period of time or a small group. Furthermore, many Western vegetarians choose a meat-free diet for its health benefits in addition to other health or lifestyle practices, such as abstaining from smoking and avoidance or moderate consumption of alcohol,[5,39,75] which are also linked with cancer risk.

The World Cancer Research Fund reported in 2007 that there is convincing evidence that consumption of red meat and processed meat increase the risk of colorectal cancer. One would therefore expect vegetarians to have a lower incidence of colorectal cancer than those who eat meat; however, the evidence to support this is not consistent. Whereas US Adventist studies found that vegetarians had a lower risk of colon cancer, a study of British vegetarians found that they had a similar rate of colon cancer to nonvegetarians.[40,51,76-78] It may be that the classification of *vegetarian* and *nonvegetarian* is too simple, and vegetarian diets may differ widely in nutrient composition, even in the absence of red meat. This may particularly be the case when comparing vegetarians in different countries.[51,78] The definition of *vegetarian* may also differ between studies, with some classifying themselves as *vegetarian* while still regularly consuming seafood or white meat. It has been suggested that more descriptive vegetarian subtypes need to be used for epidemiological research.[51]

The higher fiber intakes of vegetarians may play a major role in reducing

colon cancer risk.[79] The bulking effect of fiber may increase the transit rate of carcinogens through the bowel and reduce the surface contact exposure of carcinogenic compounds with the bowel wall.[80,81] Those who eat vegetarian diets have colonic environments that are different from those who eat meat-rich diets. They tend to have lower colonic cell proliferation, different profiles of intestinal bacteria, lower levels of fecal enzymes, and lower levels of mutagens in feces—all of which may play a role in reducing bowel cancer risk.[82-84] However, the protective effects of fiber on colorectal cancer remains controversial, with a large pooled analysis suggesting that dietary fiber intake did not affect colorectal cancer incidence.[85]

Research also suggests that lifelong vegetarianism may be associated with a reduction in the risk of breast cancer through its association with a higher intake of vegetables, fruit, soy, and pulses and the avoidance of red meat.[86-90] Potential reasons for a lower incidence of breast cancer include the fact that vegetarians have lower levels of blood estrogens, longer menstrual cycles, and a later onset of menstruation, resulting in a reduction in exposure to estrogen.[89] However, more recent studies have not supported these findings, and further research to clarify the benefits of a plant-based diet for breast cancer prevention is needed.

The health benefits of protective compounds in a plant-based diet have been linked to the prevention of cancer initiation and the retardation of cancer cell growth. Foods that are central to vegetarian diets such as whole grains, legumes, fruits, and vegetables are rich in fiber, folic acid, phytochemicals, and antioxidants.[91] Carotenoids, ascorbate, tocopherols, selenium, dithiolthiones, isothiocyanates, indoles, phenols, protease inhibitors, allium compounds, plant sterols, limonene, and other biologically active compounds are often collectively referred to as phytochemicals that, among many other properties, have anticancer activity.[92-95]

In addition to the cancer-protective effect of a plant-based diet, eating red

meat may contribute to cancer formation. The consumption of red meat cooked at high temperatures, diets rich in animal fat and cholesterol, or diets high in animal protein may increase the risk of developing cancer.[18,26,96-98] Red meat, particularly high-fat processed meats, may give rise to cancerous compounds when cooked at a high temperature, the metabolism of which are risk factors for the development of cancer.[99] Excessive iron is also thought to promote the formation of reactive species of free radicals that can damage cells.[99] However, although some researchers have found significant results showing a direct link between red meat, heme iron, and high temperature cooking and cancer formation (see Table 1), the issue remains contentious and requires further research.

Diabetes

Vegetarian and vegan diets offer significant benefits for the prevention and management of diabetes. Observational studies have demonstrated a significantly lower risk of type 2 diabetes in individuals following a vegetarian diet when compared with nonvegetarians.[30,49] The original Adventist Health Study found that vegetarians had approximately half the risk of developing diabetes, with a significant association observed between red meat intake and diabetes risk, independent of body weight, other dietary factors, and physical activity.[49]

In the more recent Adventist Health Study-2 involving more than 60 000 men and women, those following a vegan diet were found to have a diabetes prevalence that was approximately one third that of nonvegetarians (2.9% vs 7.6%), whereas the lacto-ovo vegetarians, pesco-vegetarians, and semivegetarians had an intermediate diabetes prevalence of 3.2%, 4.8%, and 6.1%, respectively.[30] After adjusting for confounding factors, those following a vegan diet had an almost 50% reduction in the risk of developing type 2 diabetes compared with nonvegetarians. The risk reduction became incrementally smaller as more animal products were consumed: lacto-ovo vegetarians had a 46% reduction in

risk, pesco-vegetarians a 30% reduction in risk, and semivegetarians a 24% reduction in risk.[30]

Whether the reduction in risk of diabetes in vegetarians can be attributed to the absence of meat or the higher intake of plant foods such as whole grains, legumes, and nuts is unclear. However, several studies have shown a positive association between dietary heme iron intake and heme iron intake from red meat and the risk of type 2 diabetes.[11,100-103] A positive association between the intake of red meat, processed meats, and animal protein and the incidence of type 2 diabetes has also been demonstrated,[9-12] and a high intake of red meat prior to becoming pregnant significantly increased the risk of developing gestational diabetes.[14]

In the Adventist Mortality Study and Adventist Health Study, those who were weekly consumers of all meats (including red meat, poultry, and fish) were 29% more likely to develop diabetes compared with those who ate no meat, whereas those who consumed any processed meats (including salted fish and frankfurters) were 38% more likely to develop diabetes.[12] Furthermore, long-term adherence (over a 17-year interval) to a diet that included at least weekly meat intake was associated with a 74% increase in the risk of diabetes compared with long-term adherence to a vegetarian diet (no meat intake). Even after controlling for weight and weight change, weekly meat intake increased the risk of diabetes by 38%. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies of meat consumption and type 2 diabetes risk estimated the relative risk comparing high versus low intake, and this was 1.17 for total meat, 1.21 for red meat, and 1.41 for processed meat.[104] The authors predict that a 120 g/d increase in red meat consumption increases the risk of diabetes by 20% and a 50 g/d increase in processed meat consumption increases the risk by 57%.

In addition to the absence of red meat, there are a number of other aspects of a vegetarian diet that may protect against and assist in the management of type 2 diabetes, including a lower

intake of saturated fat and a higher intake of dietary fiber, whole grains, legumes, and nuts. These dietary factors have also been shown to reduce CVD risk, one of the main complications of diabetes. Furthermore, substituting soy or vegetable protein for animal protein in the diet has been shown to reduce the risk and progression of renal disease in both type 1 and type 2 diabetes.[105-110]

There are only a few intervention studies assessing the effects of a vegetarian diet in people with diabetes, and weight loss has generally been greater on the vegetarian diets, making it difficult to determine the independent effect of the diets.[111] However, greater weight loss may be one of the advantages of a vegetarian diet because even small amounts of weight loss can help in both the prevention and management of type 2 diabetes. One study comparing a low-fat vegan diet with a diet based on the American Diabetes Association (ADA) guidelines found that the vegan diet reduced HbA1c levels significantly more than the ADA diet (by 1.23 vs 0.38 percentage points in those who did not change medication).[112] Furthermore, 43% of people were able to reduce their medication compared with only 26% in the ADA group. Earlier studies of low-fat vegan diets in patients with type 2 diabetes have also demonstrated significant improvements in blood glucose control and blood fats as well as considerable reductions in medication use,[113,114] although the larger of these studies was not controlled.

Other Diseases

Limited research has suggested that a vegetarian diet may also reduce the risk of other health conditions, including diverticular disease, gallstones, rheumatoid arthritis, gout, and kidney disease. Although these findings are positive and add to the evidence of the health benefits of a vegetarian diet, the small number of studies mean that further research is required to confirm these benefits.

Diverticular disease. Vegetarians tend to have a lower incidence of diverticular

disease than nonvegetarians.[115] This is believed to be a result of the higher dietary fiber intake of vegetarians from an increased consumption of whole grains, fruits, vegetables, nuts, and legumes.[3] More recent research suggests that diets high in fat and red meat, independent of fiber intake, may be linked to an increase in diverticulitis.[116]

Gallstones. Vegetarians may be only half as likely to develop gallstones as nonvegetarians.[117] In a cross-sectional study, the prevalence of gallbladder disease was significantly lower in female vegetarians than female omnivores (12% vs 25%).[117] In addition, a 20-year prospective study of 80 898 women found that increased consumption of vegetable protein was associated with a reduced risk of having a cholecystectomy.[118] A separate evaluation of the same cohort of women found that increasing the consumption of fruits and vegetables was associated with a decreased incidence of gallstones.[119] In hamsters fed a lithogenic diet, the incidence of gallstones was decreased in a dose-dependent manner by progressively replacing casein with soy protein in the diet.[120,121] It has been proposed that the lower BMI of vegetarians; higher fiber, legume, and lecithin intakes; and possibly greater soy protein intake may be contributing factors.[122] A recent study in women found that frequent nut consumption (often higher in vegetarian diets) reduced the risk of cholecystectomy.[123]

Gout. Limited research suggests that gout may also be less common in vegetarians. A study of nearly 50 000 male individuals older than 12 years, comparing those who suffered from gout with those who did not, found that the consumption of meat, particularly red meat, and all types of seafood significantly increased the risk of gout.[124] Eating more than 2 servings of red meat per week increased the risk by 50%, whereas eating more than 1 serving of canned tuna per week increased the risk by 28%. In contrast, no increased risk was seen with the consumption of purine-rich vegetables, and there was no association with the overall vegetable protein intake. There was a protective effect from vegetable and dairy proteins, with the consumption of low-fat dairy products significantly reducing the risk of gout. A second study looking at the effect of a vegetarian diet and different omnivorous diets on the risk of uric acid crystallization found that the risk of uric acid crystallization was highest with high levels of meat intake and Western diets, declined significantly on a balanced omnivorous diet, and was lowest on a vegetarian diet.[125]

Rheumatoid arthritis. Several studies have shown that individuals with rheumatoid arthritis may benefit from a period of fasting followed by a low-fat vegetarian or vegan diet.[126-129] A systematic review of 4 controlled studies lasting at least 3 months found a clinically and statistically significant effect of such diets.[130] The exact mechanism for these improvements is unclear, but they may be a result of a reduction in inflammation.[126] Weight loss may also play a part; however, a pooled analysis of 3 studies found that weight reduction did not significantly contribute to the improvement in rheumatoid arthritis when individuals were on lacto-vegetarian, vegan, or Mediterranean diets.[131]

Kidney disease. High intakes of animal protein may have adverse effects for those with underlying kidney problems. A study of individuals with type 2 diabetes and macroalbuminuria found that eliminating red meat from the diet, either by replacing it with chicken or by following a lacto-vegetarian, low-protein diet improved renal function and blood fat levels.[108] In patients with type 1 diabetes, improved kidney function has been demonstrated following dietary intervention in which animal protein was replaced with vegetable protein[109] and soy protein.[110] A recent study in patients with type 2 diabetes and nephropathy found that replacing 35% of animal protein intake with soy protein significantly reduced proteinuria and urinary creatinine.[106]

The Multiethnic Study of Atherosclerosis found that in those without diabetes or CVD, a dietary pattern rich in whole grains, fruit, and low-fat dairy food was associated with a lower risk for microalbuminuria and high mean albumin-to-creatinine ratio (ACR), whereas intake of nondairy animal foods was positively associated with high ACR.[132]

Meeting Nutritional Needs

The American Dietetic Association support the fact that, in addition to their health benefits, well-planned vegetarian diets, including vegan diets, are nutritionally adequate and are appropriate for individuals during all stages of life.[133] Some nutrients can be more difficult to obtain on a vegetarian diet, but careful planning and, in some cases, the use of fortified foods or supplements can ensure that an individual's nutrition needs are met while maximizing the health benefits of a vegetarian or vegan diet.

Protein

Although the adequacy of protein in vegetarian diets is sometimes questioned, vegetarian diets usually exceed protein requirements, although they may provide less protein than a nonvegetarian diet.[133] Because most plant foods contain limited amounts of one or more essential amino acids, it was once thought that certain combinations of plant foods had to be eaten at the same meal to ensure sufficient essential amino acids. It is now known that strict protein combining is not necessary, provided energy intake is adequate and a variety of plant foods are eaten each day, including legumes, whole grains, nuts and seeds, soy products, and vegetables.[134] Furthermore, soy protein has a PDCAAS (protein digestibility–corrected amino acid score) that is almost identical to meat.[135] Plant sources of protein include legumes, nuts, and soy products, including soy milk, soy yoghurt, tofu, and tempeh. Grains and vegetables also contain protein but in small amounts.

Vitamin B12 (Cobalamin)

Vitamin B12 is found only in animal products, so a deficiency of this vitamin

is a potential concern for anyone following a vegan or vegetarian diet or anyone who significantly restricts animal products. Serum levels of vitamin B12 are generally lower in vegetarians, especially vegans, decreasing with increasing duration of following the diet.[136,137] Although it can take several years for deficiency symptoms to develop, anyone excluding animal products will eventually become deficient if their diet is not adequately supplemented. Recent findings from the EPIC-Oxford study showed that in a cohort of 689 men (226 omnivores, 231 vegetarians, and 232 vegans) 52% of vegans, 7% of vegetarians, and 1 omnivore were classified as vitamin B12 deficient.[136]

Although plant foods, including mushrooms, tempeh, miso, and sea vegetables, are often reported to provide some vitamin B12, they are not a reliable source of this vitamin. These foods contain an inactive form of B12, which interferes with the normal absorption and metabolism of the active form in the body and will not prevent a deficiency.[138] Recent research has found some bioavailable vitamin B12 (in the same form present in animal products) on the surface and in the flesh of mushrooms.[139] These amounts, however, are small and inadequate to meet dietary needs. A reliable source of biologically active vitamin B12 is recommended on a regular basis, either from fortified foods or supplements. In particular, all vegans should supplement their diet with vitamin B12, and this is especially important for women who are pregnant or breastfeeding, to prevent deficiency in their baby.[140]

Iron

There are 2 types of iron in food: heme iron found only in animal foods, such as meat, poultry, and fish, and nonheme iron found in animal foods, including eggs and plant foods such as legumes, whole grains, nuts, seeds, dark green leafy vegetables, and dried fruit. Nonheme iron is not as well absorbed by the body but its absorption is increased significantly in the presence of vitamin C.[141] Polyphenols such as

tannins, found in tea and coffee,[142] and phytates in whole grains and legumes can inhibit the absorption of iron, with vitamin C aiding absorption most effectively in meals containing high levels of phytates and polyphenols.[143-145] The net effect of various enhancers or inhibitors may be less important in a highly varied diet, where no one factor is present in sufficient amounts to be of significance.[146,147]

Vegetarian diets can contain as much or more iron (nonheme) than mixed diets, primarily from whole grain breads and cereals, including fortified cereals.[148,149] Surprisingly, iron deficiency is not more common in vegetarians, although iron stores (as shown by ferritin levels) are often lower.[150-153] It is thought that lower iron stores may reduce the risk of chronic diseases as previously discussed. Iron requirements are set 80% higher for vegetarians than for nonvegetarians because of bioavailability issues with nonheme iron[144]; yet it has been suggested that these bioavailability concerns may have been exaggerated by studying absorption from single meals under lab conditions because the influence of enhancers and inhibitors was much less pronounced over several weeks in comparison with single-meal studies.[147,154]

Despite concerns regarding dietary bioavailability and iron absorption, iron status is thought to be the determining factor regulating nonheme iron absorption.[155] Humans can adapt successfully to a wide range of iron requirements and intakes.[156] Absorption is regulated by physiological requirements and iron status more than the type of food eaten or its bioavailability—lower body stores result in intestinal adaptation, with increased absorption and reduced excretion.[133,152,154,157] During pregnancy, women will absorb 60% more iron when requirements are highest.[157-159]

Zinc

Although zinc is found widely in plant foods, like iron, its absorption is dependent on body stores and requirements—the body appears to adapt to lower intakes by reducing losses

and increasing absorption.[152,160] As with iron, zinc deficiency is no greater in vegetarians than in nonvegetarians,[152] and zinc intakes of vegetarians are close to recommended levels.[148] Absorption of zinc is reduced by phytates found in wheat bran, whole grains, and legumes. However, processing a food by leavening (yeast in breads), soaking, fermenting, or sprouting can reduce the phytate level and make zinc more readily available.[161] Again, like iron, commonly eaten plant foods, such as nuts, seeds, and whole grains, are high in zinc and may be the reason for the apparent satisfactory status in vegetarians.

Calcium

Research has found that calcium intakes are generally similar between lacto-ovo vegetarians and nonvegetarians,[163] although vegans typically have lower intakes.[148,164] A recent review of the literature concluded that there are no significant differences in bone health indices between lacto-ovo vegetarians and those who eat meat, and although vegans do have lower bone mineral densities (BMDs), the differences are not clinically significant.[165,166] The EPIC Oxford study found that the fracture risk was similar in vegetarians and in meat and fish eaters, but there was an increased risk for vegans.[164] However, no increased risk was observed in those consuming at least 525 mg/d of calcium, suggesting that the lower calcium intake of some vegans was responsible for the increased fracture risk. In contrast, a recent study in postmenopausal Buddhist nuns following a lifelong vegan diet found that despite a much lower intake of calcium (330 vs 680 mg/d in omnivorous women), bone mineral densities were similar to nonvegetarians.[167] There was no significant correlation between calcium intake and BMD.

For lacto-ovo vegetarians, dairy products provide plenty of calcium. Vegans can obtain their calcium from fortified soy milk and yoghurt, tofu (set with calcium salts), or other plant foods rich in calcium. Plant foods that have high calcium bioavailability include low oxalate greens, which have a

bioavailability of 50% to 60% compared with cow's milk at around 32%, whereas the bioavailability from fortified soy milk, sesame seeds, and almonds is estimated to be around 21% to 24%.[163] Thus, many plant foods can provide a significant amount of bioavailable calcium despite generally being lower in calcium content than dairy foods. Absorption of calcium is improved in the presence of vitamin D, whereas excretion of calcium is increased by sodium, caffeine, and, according to some studies, by excess animal protein.[163,168-171] Low protein intakes, however, may also reduce calcium absorption.[170] The 1999-2002 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) found an increased risk of fractures in postmenopausal women with low protein intakes (<46 g/d) despite calcium intakes of at least 1200 mg/d.[170] These findings may be particularly relevant to those following a vegan diet who may have a lower intake of both calcium and protein.

Essential Fatty Acids

Omega-3 fatty acids play an important role in health and disease, particularly with respect to cardiovascular health but also in inflammatory diseases and neurological and eye development.[173] Because fish and seafood are the main sources of long-chain omega-3 fatty acids, obtaining an adequate intake on a vegetarian diet is difficult. Vegetarians obtain omega-3 fats predominantly from the omega-3 fatty acid α-linolenic acid (ALA), but there is debate over the efficiency of conversion of ALA to the longer-chain docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) and eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA).[174] For vegetarians, it has been suggested that a ratio range of 2:1 to 4:1 of omega-6 to omega-3 would maximize conversion[174] and reduce any thrombotic tendency that might increase their generally low risk for CVD.[175]

There is some evidence to suggest that the requirement for omega-3 fatty acids in vegetarians is higher because of the inefficient conversion of ALA to EPA and DHA and the lack of direct sources of

these fatty acids.[174] However, a recent study showed smaller differences in plasma n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid status between fish eaters and non–fish eaters than would be expected from dietary intakes, which could be explained by a greater conversion of ALA to EPA and DHA in the non–fish eaters.[176] Some believe that a vegetarian's need for omega-3 fatty acids can be met by dietary ALA.[177] DHA is absent from unsupplemented vegan diets and present in limited amounts in vegetarian diets. Hence, the proportions of DHA in plasma, blood cells, breast milk, and tissues are substantially lower in vegans and vegetarians compared with omnivores; however, the significance of this difference on health outcomes remains unclear.[174,177,178] Omega-3 requirements appear to increase as omega-6 intake increases, and reducing omega-6 intake to <2% of energy greatly reduces omega-3 requirements.[179] To optimize omega-3 fatty acid status in vegetarians, it is best to avoid saturated and trans fats, and favor monounsaturated fats rather than omega-6 fats. The richest sources of ALA include chia seeds and linseeds (or flaxseed oil). Walnuts and soy products also provide a good source of ALA, with smaller amounts present in green leafy vegetables.

Both ALA and marine-derived omega-3 fatty acids are associated with a reduced risk of CVD.[174] Adding DHA-fortified foods to an already healthy vegetarian diet may have little additional health benefits in terms of CVD.[180] However, those with higher requirements, such as pregnant and breastfeeding women, and those at greater risk for poor conversion, such as people with diabetes, older people, and premature infants, may benefit from microalgae-rich DHA supplements.[174,181,182] Omega-3-rich eggs or foods fortified with algal DHA are direct sources of DHA.

Vitamin D

Vitamin D is best known for its role in bone health—we absorb very little calcium when vitamin D levels are low. A relatively new area of research

is its role in diseases such as cancer, heart disease, strokes, arthritis, multiple sclerosis, and even depression.[183] Recent evidence suggests that vitamin D deficiency is a public health problem not just for vegetarians and is more widespread than once thought.[184] Vitamin D is unusual in that it is more like a hormone than a vitamin, and given the right amount of careful sun exposure (the main source of vitamin D), our skin can produce what we need. However, the amount we produce depends on many factors, including the time of day, season, latitude, skin pigmentation, use of sunscreen, amount of skin exposed, length of time of exposure, and age.[133]

Vitamin D is found naturally in only a few foods such as oily fish (cod liver oil), wild mushrooms, and eggs. Because only a few products contain vitamin D, it is also added to some dairy products and other fortified foods. Although lacto-ovo vegetarians obtain some vitamin D from eggs and dairy products, most of their intake comes from fortified foods, Vegans rely only on fortified foods to obtain adequate dietary intake. Recent research found that button mushrooms exposed to ultraviolet irradiation produced vitamin D, which could potentially provide a food source for vegans.[185] There are 2 main forms of vitamin D used for supplements and fortification: D2 (ergocalciferol), which is sourced from plants (yeast), and D3 (cholecalciferol), which is sourced from animals (sheep's wool). It has been suggested that D2 has only about 60% of the bioavailability of animal-derived D3,[186] but other studies suggest that they are equally absorbed.[133,183]

Whereas some studies have shown that vegetarians, and particularly vegans, have lower intakes of vitamin D compared with nonvegetarians,[187-189] the recent Adventist Health Study-2 found no relationship between serum vitamin D concentrations and vegetarian status, suggesting that factors other than diet have a greater influence on vitamin D levels.[190] If sun exposure and intake of foods fortified with vitamin D is inadequate to meet the

requirements, vitamin D supplements are recommended.[133]

Conclusion

Well-planned vegetarian diets are not only nutritionally adequate but also provide many health benefits, particularly in the prevention and treatment of many chronic diseases. In fact, in Western countries, a vegetarian diet may present a significant advantage over meat-based diets, and a number of studies have shown increased longevity in vegetarians.

Although potentially lower in some nutrients, careful planning can help ensure that both vegetarian and vegan diets meet all the current recommended intakes for essential nutrients as well as maximize the intake of protective components present widely in plant foods. In fact, a vegetarian diet may well be one of the best ways to meet population dietary guidelines. AJLM

References

1. The Vegetarian Resource Group. How many vegetarians are there? http://www.vrg.org/press/2009poll.htm. Accessed September 27, 2011.

2. Leitzmann C. Vegetarian diets: what are the advantages? *Forum Nutr.* 2005;(57):147-156.

3. Segasothy M, Phillips PA. Vegetarian diet: panacea for modern lifestyle diseases? *QJM.* 1999;92:531-544.

4. Bedford JL, Barr SI. Diets and selected lifestyle practices of self-defined adult vegetarians from a population-based sample suggest they are more "health conscious." *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2005;2:4.

5. Singh PN, Sabate J, Fraser GE. Does low meat consumption increase life expectancy in humans? *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2003;78(3 suppl):526S-532S.

6. Fraser GE, Shavlik DJ. Ten years of life: is it a matter of choice? *Arch Intern Med.* 2001;161:1645-1652.

7. Sabate J. The contribution of vegetarian diets to health and disease: a paradigm shift? *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2003; 78(3 suppl):502S-507S.

8. Wang Y, Beydoun MA. Meat consumption is associated with obesity and central obesity among US adults. *Int J Obes.* 2009;33:621-628.

9. Fung TT, Schulze M, Manson JE, Willett WC, Hu FB. Dietary patterns, meat intake, and the risk of type 2 diabetes in women. *Arch Intern Med.* 2004;164:2235-2240.

10. Schulze MB, Manson JE, Willett WC, Hu FB. Processed meat intake and incidence of type 2 diabetes in younger and middle-aged women. *Diabetologia.* 2003;46:1465-1473.

11. Song Y, Manson JE, Buring JE, Liu S. A prospective study of red meat consumption and type 2 diabetes in middle-aged and elderly women: the women s health study. *Diabetes Care.* 2004;27:2108-2115.

12. Vang A, Singh PN, Lee JW, Haddad EH, Brinegar CH. Meats, processed meats, obesity, weight gain and occurrence of diabetes among adults: findings from Adventist Health Studies. *Ann Nutr Metab.* 2008;52:96-104.

13. van Dam RM, Willett WC, Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, Hu FB. Dietary fat and meat intake in relation to risk of type 2 diabetes in men. *Diabetes Care.* 2002;25:417-424.

14. Zhang C, Schulze MB, Solomon CG, Hu FB. A prospective study of dietary patterns, meat intake and the risk of gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetologia.* 2006;49:2604-2613.

15. Kontogianni MD, Panagiotakos DB, Pitsavos C, Chrysohoou C, Stefanadis C. Relationship between meat intake and the development of acute coronary syndromes: the CARDIO2000 case-control study. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2008;62:171-177.

16. Qi L, van Dam RM, Rexrode K, Hu FB. Heme iron from diet as a risk factor for coronary heart disease in women with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2007;30:101-106.

17. Bernstein AM, Sun Q, Hu FB, Stampfer MJ, Manson JE, Willett WC. Major dietary protein sources and risk of coronary heart disease in women. *Circulation.* 2010;122:876-883.

18. Cross AJ, Leitzmann MF, Gail MH, Hollenbeck AR, Schatzkin A, Sinha R. A prospective study of red and processed meat intake in relation to cancer risk. *PLoS Med.* 2007;4:e325.

19. Cross AJ, Peters U, Kirsh VA, et al. A prospective study of meat and meat mutagens and prostate cancer risk. *Cancer Res.* 2005;65:11779-11784.

20. Norat T, Bingham S, Ferrari P, et al. Meat, fish, and colorectal cancer risk: the European Prospective Investigation into cancer and nutrition. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2005;97:906-916.

21. Bosetti C, Negri E, Franceschi S, et al. Diet and ovarian cancer risk: a case-control study in Italy. *Int J Cancer.* 2001;93:911-915.

22. Cross AJ, Ferrucci LM, Risch A, et al. A large prospective study of meat consumption and colorectal cancer risk: an investigation of potential mechanisms underlying this association. *Cancer.* 2010;70:2406-2414.

23. Lagiou P, Talamini R, Samoli E, et al. Diet and upper-aerodigestive tract cancer in Europe: the ARCAGE study. *Int J Cancer.* 2009;124:2671-2676.

24. Navarro Silvera SA, Mayne ST, Risch H, et al. Food group intake and risk of subtypes of esophageal and gastric cancer. *Int J Cancer.* 2008;123:852-860.

25. Kolahdooz F, Ibiebele TI, van der Pols JC, Webb PM. Dietary patterns and ovarian cancer risk. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2009;89:297-304.

26. Sinha R, Cross AJ, Graubard BI, Leitzmann MF, Schatzkin A. Meat intake and mortality: a prospective study of over half a million people. *Arch Intern Med.* 2009;169:562-571.

27. Berkow SE, Barnard N. Vegetarian diets and weight status. *Nutr Rev.* 2006;64:175-188.

28. Rosell M, Appleby P, Spencer E, Key T. Weight gain over 5 years in 21,966 meat-eating, fish-eating, vegetarian, and vegan men and women in EPIC-Oxford. *Int J Obes (Lond).* 2006;30:1389-1396.

29. Spencer EA, Appleby PN, Davey GK, Key TJ. Diet and body mass index in 38000 EPIC-Oxford meat-eaters, fish-eaters, vegetarians and vegans. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.* 2003;27:728-734.

30. Tonstad S, Butler T, Yan R, Fraser GE. Type of vegetarian diet, body weight, and prevalence of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2009;32:791-796.

31. Mattes RD. The energetics of nut consumption. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr.* 2008;17(suppl 1):337-339.

32. Mattes RD, Kris-Etherton PM, Foster GD. Impact of peanuts and tree nuts on body weight and healthy weight loss in adults. *J Nutr.* 2008;138:1741S-1745S.

33. Gaesser GA. Carbohydrate quantity and quality in relation to body mass index. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2007;107:1768-1780.

34. Vergnaud AC, Norat T, Romaguera D, et al. Meat consumption and prospective weight change in participants of the EPIC-PANACEA study. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2010;92:398-407.

35. Schulz M, Kroke A, Liese AD, Hoffmann K, Bergmann MM, Boeing H. Food groups as predictors for short-term weight changes in men and women of the EPIC-Potsdam cohort. *J Nutr.* 2002;132:1335-1340.

36. Romaguera D, Norat T, Vergnaud AC, et al. Mediterranean dietary patterns and prospective weight change in participants of the EPIC-PANACEA project. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2010;92:912-921.

37. Appleby PN, Thorogood M, Mann JI, Key TJ. The Oxford Vegetarian Study: an overview. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1999; 70(3 suppl):525S-531S.

38. Burr ML, Butland BK. Heart disease in British vegetarians. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1988;48(3 suppl):830-832.

39. Chang-Claude J, Hermann S, Eilber U, Steindorf K. Lifestyle determinants and mortality in German vegetarians and health-conscious persons: results of a 21-year follow-up. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2005;14:963-968.

40. Fraser GE. Associations between diet and cancer, ischemic heart disease, and all-cause mortality in non-Hispanic white California Seventh-day Adventists. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1999;70(3 suppl):532S-538S.

41. Snowdon DA, Phillips RL, Fraser GE. Meat consumption and fatal ischemic heart disease. *Prev Med*. 1984;13:490-500.

42. Key TJ, Fraser GE, Thorogood M, et al. Mortality in vegetarians and non-vegetarians: a collaborative analysis of 8300 deaths among 76,000 men and women in five prospective studies. *Public Health Nutr*. 1998;1:33-41.

43. Key TJ, Fraser GE, Thorogood M, et al. Mortality in vegetarians and nonvegetarians: detailed findings from a collaborative analysis of 5 prospective studies. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1999;70(3 suppl):516S-524S.

44. Appleby PN, Key TJ, Burr ML, Thorogood M. Associations between plasma lipid concentrations and dietary, lifestyle and physical factors in the Oxford Vegetarian Study. *J Hum Nutr Diet*. 1995;8:305-314.

45. West RO, Hayes OB. Diet and serum cholersterol levels: a comparison between vegetarians and nonvegetarians in a Seventh-day Adventist group. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1968;21:853-862.

46. Nieman DC, Sherman KM, Arabatzis K, et al. Hematological, anthropometric, and metabolic comparisons between vegetarian and nonvegetarian elderly women. *Int J Sports Med*. 1989;10:243-251.

47. De Biase SG, Fernandes SF, Gianini RJ, Duarte JL. Vegetarian diet and cholesterol and triglycerides levels. *Arq Bras Cardiol*. 2007;88:35-39.

48. Chen CW, Lin YL, Lin TK, Lin CT, Chen BC, Lin CL. Total cardiovascular risk profile of Taiwanese vegetarians. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2008;62:138-144.

49. Snowdon DA, Phillips RL. Does a vegetarian diet reduce the occurrence of diabetes? *Am J Public Health*. 1985;75:507-512.

50. Appleby PN, Davey GK, Key TJ. Hypertension and blood pressure among meat eaters, fish eaters, vegetarians and vegans in EPIC-Oxford. *Public Health Nutr*. 2002;5:645-654.

51. Fraser GE. Vegetarian diets: what do we know of their effects on common chronic diseases? *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2009;89:1607S-1612S.

52. Rouse IL, Armstrong BK, Beilin LJ. The relationship of blood pressure to diet and lifestyle in two religious populations. *J Hypertens*. 1983;1:65-71.

53. Lu SC, Wu WH, Lee CA, Chou HF, Lee HR, Huang PC. LDL of Taiwanese vegetarians are less oxidizable than those of omnivores. *J Nutr*. 2000;130:1591-1596.

54. Hu FB. Plant-based foods and prevention of cardiovascular disease: an overview. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2003;78(3 suppl):544S-551S.

55. Mellen PB, Walsh TF, Herrington DM. Whole grain intake and cardiovascular disease: a meta-analysis. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. 2008;18:283-290.

56. Bazzano LA, He J, Ogden LG, et al. Legume consumption and risk of coronary heart disease in US men and women: NHANES I Epidemiologic Follow-up Study. *Arch Intern Med*. 2001;161:2573-2578.

57. Nagura J, Iso H, Watanabe Y, et al. Fruit, vegetable and bean intake and mortality from cardiovascular disease among Japanese men and women: the JACC Study. *Br J Nutr*. 2009;102:285-292.

58. Kris-Etherton PM, Hu FB, Ros E, Sabaté J. The role of tree nuts and peanuts in the prevention of coronary heart disease: multiple potential mechanisms. *J Nutr*. 2008;138:1746S-1751S.

59. Harland JI, Haffner TA. Systematic review, meta-analysis and regression of randomised controlled trials reporting an association between an intake of circa 25 g soya protein per day and blood cholesterol. *Atherosclerosis*. 2008;200:13-27.

60. Food labeling: health claims; soy protein and coronary heart disease. Food and Drug Administration, HHS. Final rule. *Fed Regist*. 1999;64:57700-57733.

61. Jenkins DJ, Kendall CW, Faulkner D, et al. A dietary portfolio approach to cholesterol reduction: combined effects of plant sterols, vegetable proteins, and viscous fibers in hypercholesterolemia. *Metabolism*. 2002;51:1596-1604.

62. Bernstein AM, Sun Q, Hu FB, Stampfer MJ, Manson JE, Willett WC. Major dietary protein sources and risk of coronary heart disease in women. *Circulation*. 2010;122:876-883.

63. Micha R, Wallace SK, Mozaffarian D. Red and processed meat consumption and risk of incident coronary heart disease, stroke, and diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circulation*. 2010;121:2271-2283.

64. Guallar-Castillón P, Rodríguez-Artalejo F, Tormo MJ, et al. Major dietary patterns and risk of coronary heart disease in middle-aged persons from a Mediterranean country: The EPIC-Spain cohort study. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. 2010;2010:11.

65. Fung TT, Rexrode KM, Mantzoros CS, Manson JE, Willett WC, Hu FB. Mediterranean diet and incidence of and mortality from coronary heart disease and stroke in women. *Circulation*. 2009;119:1093-1100.

66. Martínez-González MA, Fernández-Jarne E, Serrano-Martínez M, Marti A, Martinez JA, Martín-Moreno JM. Mediterranean diet and reduction in the risk of a first acute myocardial infarction: an operational healthy dietary score. *Eur J Nutr*. 2002;41:153-160.

67. Berkow SE, Barnard ND. Blood pressure regulation and vegetarian diets. *Nutr Rev*. 2005;63:1-8.

68. Margetts BM, Beilin LJ, Vandongen R, Armstrong BK. Vegetarian diet in mild hypertension: a randomised controlled trial. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)*. 1986;293:1468-1471.

69. Rouse IL, Beilin LJ, Armstrong BK, Vandongen R. Blood-pressure-lowering effect of a vegetarian diet: controlled trial in normotensive subjects. *Lancet*. 1983;1:5-10.

70. Appel LJ, Giles TD, Black HR, et al. ASH position paper: dietary approaches to lower blood pressure. *J Am Soc Hypertens*. 2010;4:79-89.

71. Rouse IL, Beilin LJ, Mahoney DP, et al. Nutrient intake, blood pressure, serum and urinary prostaglandins and serum thromboxane B2 in a controlled trial with a lacto-ovo-vegetarian diet. *J Hypertens*. 1986;4:241-250.

72. Appel LJ, Moore TJ, Obarzanek E, et al. A clinical trial of the effects of dietary patterns on blood pressure. DASH Collaborative Research Group. *N Engl J Med*. 1997;336:1117-1124.

73. Key TJ, Appleby PN, Spencer EA, Travis RC, Roddam AW, Allen NE. Mortality in British vegetarians: results from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC-Oxford). *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2009;89:1613S-1619S.

74. Key TJ, Appleby PN, Rosell MS. Health effects of vegetarian and vegan diets. *Proc Nutr Soc*. 2006;65:35-41.

75. Montgomery S, Herring P, Yancey A, et al. Comparing self-reported disease outcomes, diet, and lifestyles in a national cohort of black and white Seventh-day Adventists. *Prev Chronic Dis*. 2007;4:A62.

76. Slimani N, Fahey M, Welch AA, et al. Diversity of dietary patterns observed in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) project. *Public Health Nutr*. 2002;5(6B):1311-1328.

77. Agudo A, Slimani N, Ocké MC, et al. Consumption of vegetables, fruit and other plant foods in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) cohorts from 10 European countries. *Public Health Nutr*. 2002;5(6B):1179-1196.

78. Key TJ, Appleby PN, Spencer EA, Travis RC, Roddam AW, Allen NE. Cancer incidence in vegetarians: results from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC-Oxford). *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2009;89:1620S-1626S.

79. Sanjoaquin MA, Appleby PN, Spencer EA, Key TJ. Nutrition and lifestyle in relation to bowel movement frequency: a cross-sectional study of 20630 men and women in EPIC-Oxford. *Public Health Nutr*. 2004;7:77-83.

80. Fardet A. New hypotheses for the health-protective mechanisms of whole-grain cereals: what is beyond fibre? *Nutr Res Rev*. 2010;23:65-134.

81. Slavin J. Why whole grains are protective: biological mechanisms. *Proc Nutr Soc*. 2003;62:129-134.

82. Lipkin M, Uehara K, Winawer S, et al. Seventh-Day Adventist vegetarians have a quiescent proliferative activity in colonic mucosa. *Cancer Lett*. 1985;26:139-144.

83. van Dokkum W, de Boer BC, van Faassen A, Pikaar NA, Hermus RJ. Diet, faecal pH and colorectal cancer. *Br J Cancer*. 1983;48:109-110.

84. Schatzkin A, Park Y, Leitzmann MF, Hollenbeck AR, Cross AJ. Prospective study of dietary fiber, whole grain foods, and small intestinal cancer. *Gastroenterology*. 2008;135:1163-1167.

85. Park Y, Hunter DJ, Spiegelman D, et al. Dietary fiber intake and risk of colorectal cancer: a pooled analysis of prospective cohort studies. *JAMA*. 2005;294:2849-2857.

86. Butler LM, Wu AH, Wang R, Koh WP, Yuan JM, Yu MC. A vegetable-fruit-soy dietary pattern protects against breast cancer among postmenopausal Singapore Chinese women. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2010;91:1013-1019.

87. Cade JE, Taylor EF, Burley VJ, Greenwood DC. Common dietary patterns and risk of breast cancer: analysis from the United Kingdom Women's Cohort Study. *Nutr Cancer*. 2010;62:300-306.

88. Dos Santos Silva I, Mangtani P, McCormack V, Bhakta D, Sevak L, McMichael AJ. Lifelong vegetarianism and risk of breast cancer: a population-based case-control study among South Asian migrant women living in England. *Int J Cancer*. 2002;99:238-244.

89. Lu LJ, Anderson KE, Grady JJ, Kohen F, Nagamani M. Decreased ovarian hormones during a soya diet: implications for breast cancer prevention. *Cancer Res*. 2000;60:4112-4121.

90. Taylor EF, Burley VJ, Greenwood DC, Cade JE. Meat consumption and risk of breast cancer in the UK Women's Cohort Study. *Br J Cancer*. 2007;96:1139-1146.

91. Dewell A, Weidner G, Sumner MD, Chi CS, Ornish D. A very-low-fat vegan diet increases intake of protective dietary factors and decreases intake of pathogenic dietary factors. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2008;108:347-356.

92. Steinmetz KA, Potter JD. Vegetables, fruit, and cancer prevention: a review. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 1996;96:1027-1039.

93. Gullett NP, Ruhul Amin AR, Bayraktar S, et al. Cancer prevention with natural compounds. *Semin Oncol*. 2010;37:258-281.

94. Park EJ, Pezzuto JM. Botanicals in cancer chemoprevention. *Cancer Metastasis Rev*. 2002;21:231-255.

95. Potter JD, Steinmetz K. Vegetables, fruit and phytoestrogens as preventive agents. *IARC Sci Publ*. 1996;(139):61-90.

96. Gonzalez CA, Riboli E. Diet and cancer prevention: contributions from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study. *Eur J Cancer*. 2010;46:2555-2562.

97. Lam TK, Cross AJ, Consonni D, et al. Intakes of red meat, processed meat, and meat mutagens increase lung cancer risk. *Cancer Res*. 2009;69:932-939.

98. Ferrucci LM, Cross AJ, Graubard BI, et al. Intake of meat, meat mutagens, and iron and the risk of breast cancer in the Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial. *Br J Cancer*. 2009;101:178-184.

99. Divisi D, Di Tommaso S, Salvemini S, Garramone M, Crisci R. Diet and cancer. *Acta Biomed*. 2006;77:118-123.

100. Jiang R, Ma J, Ascherio A, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, Hu FB. Dietary iron intake and blood donations in relation to risk of type 2 diabetes in men: a prospective cohort study. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2004;79:70-75.

101. Jiang R, Manson JE, Meigs JB, Ma J, Rifai N, Hu FB. Body iron stores in relation to risk of type 2 diabetes in apparently healthy women. *JAMA*. 2004;291:711-717.

102. Lee DH, Folsom AR, Jacobs DR. Dietary iron intake and type 2 diabetes incidence in postmenopausal women: the Iowa Women s Health Study. *Diabetologia*. 2004;47:185-194.

103. Luan de C, Li H, Li SJ, Zhao Z, Li X, Liu ZM. Body iron stores and dietary iron intake in relation to diabetes in adults in north China. *Diabetes Care*. 2008;31:285-286.

104. Aune D, Ursin G, Veierod MB. Meat consumption and the risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Diabetologia*. 2009;7:7.

105. Anderson JW. Beneficial effects of soy protein consumption for renal function. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr*. 2008;17(suppl 1):324-328.

106. Azadbakht L, Atabak S, Esmaillzadeh A. Soy protein intake, cardiorenal indices, and c-reactive protein in type 2 diabetes with nephropathy: a longitudinal randomized clinical trial. *Diabetes Care*. 2008;31:648-654.

107. Azadbakht L, Esmaillzadeh A. Soy-protein consumption and kidney-related biomarkers among type 2 diabetics: a crossover, randomized clinical trial. *J Ren Nutr*. 2009;14:14.

108. de Mello VD, Zelmanovitz T, Perassolo MS, Azevedo MJ, Gross JL. Withdrawal of red meat from the usual diet reduces albuminuria and improves serum fatty acid profile in type 2 diabetes patients with macroalbuminuria. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2006;83:1032-1038.

109. Jibani MM, Bloodworth LL, Foden E, Griffiths KD, Galpin OP. Predominantly vegetarian diet in patients with incipient and early clinical diabetic nephropathy: effects on albumin excretion rate and nutritional status. *Diabet Med*. 1991;8:949-953.

110. Stephenson TJ, Setchell KD, Kendall CW, Jenkins DJ, Anderson JW, Fanti P. Effect of soy protein-rich diet on renal function in young adults with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Clin Nephrol*. 2005;64:1-11.

111. Jenkins DJ, Kendall CW, Marchie A, et al. Type 2 diabetes and the vegetarian diet. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2003;78(3 suppl):610S-616S.

112. Barnard ND, Cohen J, Jenkins DJ, et al. A low-fat vegan diet improves glycemic

control and cardiovascular risk factors in a randomized clinical trial in individuals with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2006;29:1777-1783.

113. Barnard RJ, Jung T, Inkeles SB. Diet and exercise in the treatment of NIDDM: the need for early emphasis. *Diabetes Care*. 1994;17:1469-1472.

114. Nicholson AS, Sklar M, Barnard ND, Gore S, Sullivan R, Browning S. Toward improved management of NIDDM: a randomized, controlled, pilot intervention using a low fat, vegetarian diet. *Prev Med*. 1999;29:87-91.

115. Nair P, Mayberry JF. Vegetarianism, dietary fibre and gastro-intestinal disease. *Dig Dis*. 1994;12:177-185.

116. Gear JS, Ware A, Fursdon P, et al. Symptomless diverticular disease and intake of dietary fibre. *Lancet*. 1979;1:511-514.

117. Pixley F, Wilson D, McPherson K, Mann J. Effect of vegetarianism on development of gall stones in women. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)*. 1985;291:11-12.

118. Tsai CJ, Leitzmann MF, Willett WC, Giovannucci EL. Dietary protein and the risk of cholecystectomy in a cohort of US women: the Nurses' Health Study. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2004;160:11-18.

119. Tsai CJ, Leitzmann MF, Willett WC, Giovannucci EL. Fruit and vegetable consumption and risk of cholecystectomy in women. *Am J Med*. 2006;119:760-767.

120. Kritchevsky D, Klurfeld DM. Gallstone formation in hamsters: effect of varying animal and vegetable protein levels. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1983;37:802-804.

121. Kritchevsky D, Klurfeld DM. Influence of vegetable protein on gallstone formation in hamsters. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1979;32:2174-2176.

122. Smith DA, Gee MI. A dietary survey to determine the relationship between diet and cholelithiasis. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1979;32:1519-1526.

123. Tsai CJ, Leitzmann MF, Willett WC, Giovannucci EL. Long-term intake of dietary fiber and decreased risk of cholecystectomy in women. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2004;99:1364-1370.

124. Choi HK, Atkinson K, Karlson EW, Willett W, Curhan G. Purine-rich foods, dairy and protein intake, and the risk of gout in men. *N Engl J Med*. 2004;350:1093-1103.

125. Siener R, Hesse A. The effect of a vegetarian and different omnivorous diets on urinary risk factors for uric acid stone formation. *Eur J Nutr*. 2003;42:332-337.

126. Elkan AC, Sjöberg B, Kolsrud B, Ringertz B, Hafström I, Frostegård J. Gluten-free vegan diet induces decreased LDL and oxidized LDL levels and raised atheroprotective natural antibodies against phosphorylcholine in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a randomized study. *Arthritis Res Ther*. 2008;10:R34.

127. Hafström I, Ringertz B, Spångberg A, et al. A vegan diet free of gluten improves the signs and symptoms of rheumatoid arthritis: the effects on arthritis correlate with a reduction in antibodies to food antigens. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2001;40:1175-1179.

128. Kjeldsen-Kragh J. Rheumatoid arthritis treated with vegetarian diets. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1999;70(3 suppl):594S-600S.

129. McDougall J, Bruce B, Spiller G, Westerdahl J, McDougall M. Effects of a very low-fat, vegan diet in subjects with rheumatoid arthritis. *J Altern Complement Med*. 2002;8:71-75.

130. Muller H, de Toledo FW, Resch KL. Fasting followed by vegetarian diet in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review. *Scand J Rheumatol*. 2001;30:1-10.

131. Sköldstam L, Brudin L, Hagfors L, Johansson G. Weight reduction is not a major reason for improvement in rheumatoid arthritis from lacto-vegetarian, vegan or Mediterranean diets. *Nutr J*. 2005;4:15.

132. Nettleton JA, Steffen LM, Palmas W, Burke GL, Jacobs DR Jr. Associations between microalbuminuria and animal foods, plant foods, and dietary patterns in the Multiethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2008;87:1825-1836.

133. Craig WJ, Mangels AR. Position of the American Dietetic Association: vegetarian diets. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2009;109:1266-1282.

134. Young VR, Pellett PL. Plant proteins in relation to human protein and amino acid nutrition. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1994;59(5 suppl):1203S-1212S.

135. Young VR. Soy protein in relation to human protein and amino acid nutrition. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 1991;91:828-835.

136. Gilsing AM, Crowe FL, Lloyd-Wright Z, et al. Serum concentrations of vitamin B12 and folate in British male omnivores, vegetarians and vegans: results from a cross-sectional analysis of the EPIC-Oxford cohort study. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2010;64:933-939.

137. Allen LH. How common is vitamin B-12 deficiency? *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2009;89:693S-696S.

138. Watanabe F. Vitamin B12 sources and bioavailability. *Exp Biol Med (Maywood)*. 2007;232:1266-1274.

139. Koyyalamudi SR, Jeong SC, Cho KY, Pang G. Vitamin B12 is the active corrinoid produced in cultivated white button mushrooms (*Agaricus bisporus*). *J Agric Food Chem*. 2009;57:6327-6333.

140. Dror DK, Allen LH. Effect of vitamin B12 deficiency on neurodevelopment in infants: current knowledge and possible mechanisms. *Nutr Rev*. 2008;66:250-255.

141. Hallberg L. Bioavailability of dietary iron in man. *Annu Rev Nutr*. 1981;1:123-147.

142. Rossander L, Hallberg L, Bjorn-Rasmussen E. Absorption of iron from breakfast meals. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1979;32:2484-2489.

143. Hallberg L, Brune M, Rossander L. Iron absorption in man: ascorbic acid and dose-dependent inhibition by phytate. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1989;49:140-144.

144. Food and Nutrition Board, Institute of Medicine. *Dietary Reference Intakes for Vitamin A, Vitamin K, Arsenic, Boron, Chromium, Copper, Iodine, Iron, Manganese, Molybdenum, Nickel, Silicon, Vanadium, and Zinc: A Report of the Panel on Micronutrients and the Standing Committee on the Scientific Evaluation of Dietary Reference Intakes*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2001.

145. Siegenberg D, Baynes RD, Bothwell TH, et al. Ascorbic acid prevents the dose-dependent inhibitory effects of polyphenols and phytates on nonheme-iron absorption. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1991;53:537-541.

146. Reddy MB. Algorithms to assess non-heme iron bioavailability. *Int J Vitam Nutr Res*. 2005;75:405-412.

147. Cook JD, Dassenko SA, Lynch SR. Assessment of the role of nonheme-iron availability in iron balance. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1991;54:717-722.

148. Davey GK, Spencer EA, Appleby PN, Allen NE, Knox KH, Key TJ. EPIC-Oxford: lifestyle characteristics and nutrient intakes in a cohort of 33 883 meat-eaters and 31 546 non meat-eaters in the UK. *Public Health Nutr*. 2003;6:259-269.

149. Food Standards Agency (FSA). *National Diet and Nutrition Survey: Adults Aged 19 to 64 Years: Vitamin and Mineral Intake and Urinary Analytes*. London, UK: TSO; 2003.

150. Ball MJ, Bartlett MA. Dietary intake and iron status of Australian vegetarian women. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1999;70:353-358.

151. Haddad EH, Berk LS, Kettering JD, Hubbard RW, Peters WR. Dietary intake and biochemical, hematologic, and immune status of vegans compared with nonvegetarians. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1999;70(3 suppl):586S-593S.

152. Hunt JR. Bioavailability of iron, zinc, and other trace minerals from vegetarian diets. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2003;78(3 suppl):633S-639S.

153. Messina V, Mangels AR, Messina M. *The Dietitian's Guide to Vegetarian Diets: Issues and Applications*. 2nd ed. Sudbury, MA: Jones & Bartlett; 2004.

154. Hunt JR, Roughead ZK Adaptation of iron absorption in men consuming diets with high or low iron bioavailability. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2000;71:94-102.

155. Hurrell R, Egli I. Iron bioavailability and dietary reference values. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2010;91:1461S-1467S.

156. Cook JD. Adaptation in iron metabolism. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1990;51:301-308.

157. Hunt JR, Roughead ZK. Nonheme-iron absorption, fecal ferritin excretion, and blood indexes of iron status in women consuming controlled lactoovovegetarian diets for 8 wk. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1999;69:944-952.

158. Barrett JF, Whittaker PG, Williams JG, Lind T. Absorption of non-haem iron from food during normal pregnancy. *BMJ*. 1994;309:79-82.

159. Whittaker PG, Barrett JF, Lind T. The erythrocyte incorporation of absorbed non-haem iron in pregnant women. *Br J Nutr*. 2001;86:323-329.

160. Hunt JR, Beiseigel JM, Johnson LK. Adaptation in human zinc absorption as influenced by dietary zinc and bioavailability. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2008;87:1336-1345.

161. Gibson RS, Perlas L, Hotz C. Improving the bioavailability of nutrients in plant foods at the household level. *Proc Nutr Soc*. 2006;65:160-168.

162. Hambidge KM, Miller LV, Westcott JE, Sheng X, Krebs NF. Zinc bioavailability and homeostasis. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2010;91:1478S-1483S.

163. Weaver CM, Proulx WR, Heaney R. Choices for achieving adequate dietary calcium with a vegetarian diet. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1999;70(3 suppl):543S-548S.

164. Appleby P, Roddam A, Allen N, Key T. Comparative fracture risk in vegetarians and nonvegetarians in EPIC-Oxford. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2007;61:1400-1406.

165. Ho-Pham LT, Nguyen ND, Nguyen TV. Effect of vegetarian diets on bone mineral density: a Bayesian meta-analysis. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2009;90:943-950.

166. New SA. Do vegetarians have a normal bone mass? *Osteoporos Int*. 2004;15:679-688.

167. Ho-Pham LT, Nguyen PL, Le TT, et al. Veganism, bone mineral density, and body composition: a study in Buddhist nuns. *Osteoporos Int*. 2009;20:2087-2093.

168. Sellmeyer DE, Stone KL, Sebastian A, Cummings SR. A high ratio of dietary animal to vegetable protein increases the rate of bone loss and the risk of fracture in postmenopausal women. Study of Osteoporotic Fractures Research Group. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2001;73:118-122.

169. Frassetto LA, Todd KM, Morris RC Jr, Sebastian A. Worldwide incidence of hip fracture in elderly women: relation to consumption of animal and vegetable foods. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2000;55:M585-M592.

170. Kerstetter JE, O'Brien KO, Insogna KL. Dietary protein, calcium metabolism, and skeletal homeostasis revisited. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2003;78(3 suppl):584S-592S.

171. Weikert C, Walter D, Hoffmann K, Kroke A, Bergmann MM, Boeing H. The relation between dietary protein, calcium and bone health in women: results from the EPIC-Potsdam cohort. *Ann Nutr Metab*. 2005;49:312-318.

172. Zhong Y, Okoro CA, Balluz LS. Association of total calcium and dietary protein intakes with fracture risk in postmenopausal women: the 1999-2002 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). *Nutrition*. 2009;25:647-654.

173. Yashodhara BM, Umakanth S, Pappachan JM, Bhat SK, Kamath R, Choo BH. Omega-3 fatty acids: a comprehensive review of their role in health and disease. *Postgrad Med J*. 2009;85:84-90.

174. Davis BC, Kris-Etherton PM. Achieving optimal essential fatty acid status in vegetarians: current knowledge and practical implications. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2003;78(3 suppl):640S-646S.

175. Li D, Ball M, Bartlett M, Sinclair A. Lipoprotein(a), essential fatty acid status and lipoprotein lipids in female Australian vegetarians. *Clin Sci (Lond)*. 1999;97:175-181.

176. Welch AA, Shakya-Shrestha S, Lentjes MAH, Wareham NJ, Khaw K-T. Dietary intake and status of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids in a population of fish-eating and non-fish-eating meat-eaters, vegetarians, and vegans and the precursor-product ratio of {alpha}-linolenic acid to long-chain n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids: results from the EPIC-Norfolk cohort. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2010;92:1040-1051.

177. Sanders TA. DHA status of vegetarians. *Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids*. 2009;81:137-141.

178. Rosell MS, Lloyd-Wright Z, Appleby PN, Sanders TA, Allen NE, Key TJ. Long-chain n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids in plasma in British meat-eating, vegetarian, and vegan men. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2005;82:327-334.

179. Hibbeln JR, Nieminen LR, Blasbalg TL, Riggs JA, Lands WE. Healthy intakes of n-3 and n-6 fatty acids: estimations considering worldwide diversity. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2006;83(6 suppl):1483S-1493S.

180. Mangat I. Do vegetarians have to eat fish for optimal cardiovascular protection? *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2009;89:1597S-1601S.

181. Sanders TA. Essential fatty acid requirements of vegetarians in pregnancy, lactation, and infancy. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1999;70(3 suppl):555S-559S.

182. Geppert J, Kraft V, Demmelmair H, Koletzko B. Docosahexaenoic acid supplementation in vegetarians effectively increases omega-3 index: a randomized trial. *Lipids*. 2005;40:807-814.

183. Holick MF, Biancuzzo RM, Chen TC, et al. Vitamin D2 is as effective as vitamin D3 in maintaining circulating concentrations of 25-hydroxyvitamin D. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2008;93:677-681.

184. Raiten DJ, Picciano MF. Vitamin D and health in the 21st century: bone and beyond. Executive summary. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2004;80(6 suppl):1673S-1677S.

185. Koyyalamudi SR, Jeong SC, Song CH, Cho KY, Pang G. Vitamin D2 formation and bioavailability from *Agaricus bisporus* button mushrooms treated with ultraviolet irradiation. *J Agric Food Chem*. 2009;57:3351-3355.

186. Panebianco SM. The merits and pitfalls of vegetarianism. *Explore (NY)*. 2007;3:55-58.

187. Alexander D, Ball MJ, Mann J. Nutrient intake and haematological status of vegetarians and age-sex matched omnivores. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 1994;48:538-546.

188. Lamberg-Allardt C, Kärkkäinen M, Seppänen R, Biström H. Low serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations and secondary hyperparathyroidism in middle-aged white strict vegetarians. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1993;58:684-689.

189. Outila TA, Kärkkäinen MU, Seppänen RH, Lamberg-Allardt CJ. Dietary intake of vitamin D in premenopausal, healthy vegans was insufficient to maintain concentrations of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D and intact parathyroid hormone within normal ranges during the winter in Finland. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2000;100:434-441.

190. Chan J, Jaceldo-Siegl K, Fraser GE. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D status of vegetarians, partial vegetarians, and nonvegetarians: the Adventist Health Study-2. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2009;89:1686S-1692S.